

Hyperstability of some functional equation

Anna Bahyrycz
joint work with Jolanta Olko

Department of Mathematics, Pedagogical University of Cracow, Poland

3 September 2014

Let X, Y be a linear space (both over a field $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$), $f : X \rightarrow Y$.
We deal with the general linear functional equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^m A_i f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j\right) + A = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $A, a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$, $A_i \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Let X, Y be a linear space (both over a field $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$), $f : X \rightarrow Y$.
We deal with the general linear functional equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^m A_i f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j\right) + A = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $A, a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$, $A_i \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

linear equation $f(ax + by) = Af(x) + Bf(y);$

Let X, Y be a linear space (both over a field $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$), $f : X \rightarrow Y$.
We deal with the general linear functional equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^m A_i f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j\right) + A = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $A, a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$, $A_i \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

linear equation $f(ax + by) = Af(x) + Bf(y);$

quadratic equation $f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y);$

Let X, Y be a linear space (both over a field $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$), $f : X \rightarrow Y$.
We deal with the general linear functional equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^m A_i f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j\right) + A = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $A, a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$, $A_i \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

linear equation	$f(ax + by) = Af(x) + Bf(y);$
quadratic equation	$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y);$
p-Wright equation	$f(px + (1 - p)y) + f((1 - p)x + py) = f(x) + f(y);$

Let X, Y be a linear space (both over a field $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$), $f : X \rightarrow Y$.
We deal with the general linear functional equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^m A_i f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j\right) + A = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $A, a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$, $A_i \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

linear equation $f(ax + by) = Af(x) + Bf(y)$;

quadratic equation $f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y);$

p-Wright equation $f(px + (1-p)y) + f((1-p)x + py) = f(x) + f(y);$

Fréchet equation $\Delta_{x_1 \dots x_n}^n f(x) = 0,$

where $a, b, A, B, p \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A^B the family of all functions mapping a set $B \neq \emptyset$ into a set $A \neq \emptyset$

(H1) X is a nonempty set, Y is a Banach space, $f_1, \dots, f_j: X \rightarrow X$ and $L_1, \dots, L_j: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$ are given, and $\mathcal{T}: Y^X \rightarrow Y^X$ is an operator satisfying the inequality

$$\|\mathcal{T}\xi(x) - \mathcal{T}\mu(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^j L_i(x) \|\xi(f_i(x)) - \mu(f_i(x))\|, \quad \xi, \mu \in Y^X, x \in X.$$

(H1) X is a nonempty set, Y is a Banach space, $f_1, \dots, f_j: X \rightarrow X$ and $L_1, \dots, L_j: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$ are given, and $\mathcal{T}: Y^X \rightarrow Y^X$ is an operator satisfying the inequality

$$\|\mathcal{T}\xi(x) - \mathcal{T}\mu(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^j L_i(x) \|\xi(f_i(x)) - \mu(f_i(x))\|, \quad \xi, \mu \in Y^X, x \in X.$$

(H2) $\Lambda: \mathbb{R}_+^X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^X$ is defined by

$$\Lambda\delta(x) := \sum_{i=1}^j L_i(x) \delta(f_i(x)), \quad \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+^X, x \in X.$$



J. Brzdęk, J. Chudziak, Z. Páles, *A fixed point approach to stability of functional equations*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**(2011), 6728-6732.

Theorem 1

Let hypotheses (H1), (H2) be valid and the functions $\varepsilon: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ fulfil the following two conditions



J. Brzdęk, J. Chudziak, Z. Páles, *A fixed point approach to stability of functional equations*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**(2011), 6728-6732.

Theorem 1

Let hypotheses (H1), (H2) be valid and the functions $\varepsilon: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ fulfil the following two conditions

$$\|\mathcal{T}\varphi(x) - \varphi(x)\| \leq \varepsilon(x), \quad x \in X,$$

$$\varepsilon^*(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^n \varepsilon(x) < \infty, \quad x \in X.$$



J. Brzdęk, J. Chudziak, Z. Páles, *A fixed point approach to stability of functional equations*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**(2011), 6728-6732.

Theorem 1

Let hypotheses (H1), (H2) be valid and the functions $\varepsilon: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ fulfil the following two conditions

$$\|\mathcal{T}\varphi(x) - \varphi(x)\| \leq \varepsilon(x), \quad x \in X,$$

$$\varepsilon^*(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^n \varepsilon(x) < \infty, \quad x \in X.$$

Then there exists a unique fixed point ψ of \mathcal{T} with

$$\|\varphi(x) - \psi(x)\| \leq \varepsilon^*(x), \quad x \in X.$$



J. Brzdęk, J. Chudziak, Z. Páles, *A fixed point approach to stability of functional equations*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**(2011), 6728-6732.

Theorem 1

Let hypotheses (H1), (H2) be valid and the functions $\varepsilon: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ fulfil the following two conditions

$$\|\mathcal{T}\varphi(x) - \varphi(x)\| \leq \varepsilon(x), \quad x \in X,$$

$$\varepsilon^*(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^n \varepsilon(x) < \infty, \quad x \in X.$$

Then there exists a unique fixed point ψ of \mathcal{T} with

$$\|\varphi(x) - \psi(x)\| \leq \varepsilon^*(x), \quad x \in X.$$

Moreover

$$\psi(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}^n \varphi(x), \quad x \in X.$$



J. Brzdęk, J. Chudziak, Z. Páles, *A fixed point approach to stability of functional equations*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**(2011), 6728-6732.

$$\sum_{i=1}^m A_i f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j\right) + A = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $A, a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$, $A_i \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

$$\sum_{i=1}^m A_i f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j\right) + A = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $A, a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$, $A_i \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

From now on, we assume that X, Y are the normed spaces over a field $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ and the coefficients in the equation (1) are such that

$$A = 0 \text{ or } (A \neq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \neq 0).$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m A_i f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j\right) + A = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $A, a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$, $A_i \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

From now on, we assume that X, Y are the normed spaces over a field $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ and the coefficients in the equation (1) are such that

$$A = 0 \text{ or } (A \neq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \neq 0).$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &:= X \setminus \{0\}, \\ \mathbb{F}_0 &:= \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}, \\ \mathbb{N}_{\leq k} &:= \{1, \dots, k\}, \\ \mathbb{N}_k &:= \{l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} : l \geq k\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2

Let the functions $g : X \rightarrow Y$, $\omega : \mathbb{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $\theta : X_0^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfy

$$\theta(\beta x_1, \dots, \beta x_n) \leq \omega(\beta) \theta(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad \beta \in \mathbb{F}_0, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_0, \quad (2)$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m A_i g\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j\right) + A \right\| \leq \theta(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_0. \quad (3)$$

Theorem 2

Let the functions $g : X \rightarrow Y$, $\omega : F_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $\theta : X_0^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfy

$$\theta(\beta x_1, \dots, \beta x_n) \leq \omega(\beta) \theta(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad \beta \in F_0, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_0, \quad (2)$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m A_i g\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j\right) + A \right\| \leq \theta(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_0. \quad (3)$$

If there exist $\emptyset \neq I \subset \mathbb{N}_{\leq m}$ and the sequence $\{(c_1^k, \dots, c_n^k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of F_0^n such that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_i^k &:= \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} c_j^k \in F_0, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq m}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \beta_i^k &= 1, \quad i \in I, \quad A_I := \sum_{i \in I} A_i \neq 0, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \notin I} \left| \frac{A_i}{A_I} \right| \omega(\beta_i^k) < 1, \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \theta(c_1^k x, \dots, c_n^k x) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2

Let the functions $g : X \rightarrow Y$, $\omega : \mathbb{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $\theta : X_0^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfy

$$\theta(\beta x_1, \dots, \beta x_n) \leq \omega(\beta) \theta(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad \beta \in \mathbb{F}_0, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_0, \quad (2)$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m A_i g\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j\right) + A \right\| \leq \theta(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_0. \quad (3)$$

If there exist $\emptyset \neq I \subset \mathbb{N}_{\leq m}$ and the sequence $\{(c_1^k, \dots, c_n^k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of \mathbb{F}_0^n such that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_i^k &:= \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} c_j^k \in \mathbb{F}_0, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq m}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \beta_i^k &= 1, \quad i \in I, \quad A_I := \sum_{i \in I} A_i \neq 0, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \notin I} \left| \frac{A_i}{A_I} \right| \omega(\beta_i^k) < 1, \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \theta(c_1^k x, \dots, c_n^k x) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

then g satisfies

$$\sum_{i=1}^m A_i g\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j\right) + A = 0, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_0. \quad (4)$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that Y is a Banach space.
 There exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\gamma_k := \sum_{i \notin I} \left| \frac{A_i}{A_I} \right| \omega(\beta_i^k) < \alpha, \quad k \geq k_0. \quad (5)$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that Y is a Banach space.
There exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\gamma_k := \sum_{i \notin I} \left| \frac{A_i}{A_I} \right| \omega(\beta_i^k) < \alpha, \quad k \geq k_0. \quad (5)$$

First assume that $A = 0$. Taking $x \in X_0$, $k \geq k_0$ and substituting $x_j = c_j^k x$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq n}$ in (3) we have

$$\|g(x) - \sum_{i \notin I} \frac{-A_i}{A_I} g(\beta_i^k x)\| \leq \frac{\theta(c_1^k x, \dots, c_n^k x)}{|A_I|}, \quad x \in X_0. \quad (6)$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that Y is a Banach space. There exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\gamma_k := \sum_{i \notin I} \left| \frac{A_i}{A_I} \right| \omega(\beta_i^k) < \alpha, \quad k \geq k_0. \quad (5)$$

First assume that $A = 0$. Taking $x \in X_0$, $k \geq k_0$ and substituting $x_j = c_j^k x$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq n}$ in (3) we have

$$\|g(x) - \sum_{i \notin I} \frac{-A_i}{A_I} g(\beta_i^k x)\| \leq \frac{\theta(c_1^k x, \dots, c_n^k x)}{|A_I|}, \quad x \in X_0. \quad (6)$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}\xi(x) &:= \sum_{i \notin I} \frac{-A_i}{A_I} \xi(\beta_i^k x), \quad \xi \in Y^{X_0}, x \in X_0, \\ \Lambda\delta(x) &:= \sum_{i \notin I} \left| \frac{-A_i}{A_I} \right| \delta(\beta_i^k x), \quad \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+^{X_0}, x \in X_0, \\ \varepsilon(x) &:= \frac{\theta(c_1^k x, \dots, c_n^k x)}{|A_I|}, \quad x \in X_0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that Y is a Banach space. There exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\gamma_k := \sum_{i \notin I} \left| \frac{A_i}{A_I} \right| \omega(\beta_i^k) < \alpha, \quad k \geq k_0. \quad (5)$$

First assume that $A = 0$. Taking $x \in X_0$, $k \geq k_0$ and substituting $x_j = c_j^k x$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq n}$ in (3) we have

$$\|g(x) - \sum_{i \notin I} \frac{-A_i}{A_I} g(\beta_i^k x)\| \leq \frac{\theta(c_1^k x, \dots, c_n^k x)}{|A_I|}, \quad x \in X_0. \quad (6)$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}\xi(x) &:= \sum_{i \notin I} \frac{-A_i}{A_I} \xi(\beta_i^k x), \quad \xi \in Y^{X_0}, x \in X_0, \\ \Lambda\delta(x) &:= \sum_{i \notin I} \left| \frac{-A_i}{A_I} \right| \delta(\beta_i^k x), \quad \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+^{X_0}, x \in X_0, \\ \varepsilon(x) &:= \frac{\theta(c_1^k x, \dots, c_n^k x)}{|A_I|}, \quad x \in X_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then (6) takes the form

$$\|g(x) - \mathcal{T}g(x)\| \leq \varepsilon(x), \quad x \in X_0.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that Y is a Banach space. There exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\gamma_k := \sum_{i \notin I} \left| \frac{A_i}{A_I} \right| \omega(\beta_i^k) < \alpha, \quad k \geq k_0. \quad (5)$$

First assume that $A = 0$. Taking $x \in X_0$, $k \geq k_0$ and substituting $x_j = c_j^k x$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq n}$ in (3) we have

$$\|g(x) - \sum_{i \notin I} \frac{-A_i}{A_I} g(\beta_i^k x)\| \leq \frac{\theta(c_1^k x, \dots, c_n^k x)}{|A_I|}, \quad x \in X_0. \quad (6)$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}\xi(x) &:= \sum_{i \notin I} \frac{-A_i}{A_I} \xi(\beta_i^k x), \quad \xi \in Y^{X_0}, x \in X_0, \\ \Lambda\delta(x) &:= \sum_{i \notin I} \left| \frac{-A_i}{A_I} \right| \delta(\beta_i^k x), \quad \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+^{X_0}, x \in X_0, \\ \varepsilon(x) &:= \frac{\theta(c_1^k x, \dots, c_n^k x)}{|A_I|}, \quad x \in X_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then (6) takes the form

$$\|g(x) - \mathcal{T}g(x)\| \leq \varepsilon(x), \quad x \in X_0.$$

Using (5), we get

$$\varepsilon^*(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\Lambda^n \varepsilon)(x) \leq \varepsilon(x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_k^n = \frac{\varepsilon(x)}{1 - \gamma_k}, \quad x \in X_0.$$

There exists a unique fixed point $G_k : X_0 \rightarrow Y$ of \mathcal{T} such that

$$\|g(x) - G_k(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta(c_1^k x, \dots, c_n^k x)}{|A_l|(1 - \gamma_k)}, \quad x \in X_0. \quad (7)$$

holds and $G_k(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathcal{T}^n g)(x)$ for $x \in X_0$.

There exists a unique fixed point $G_k : X_0 \rightarrow Y$ of \mathcal{T} such that

$$\|g(x) - G_k(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta(c_1^k x, \dots, c_n^k x)}{|A_l|(1 - \gamma_k)}, \quad x \in X_0. \quad (7)$$

holds and $G_k(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathcal{T}^n g)(x)$ for $x \in X_0$.

It is easy to show that for every $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and every $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_0$

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m A_i(\mathcal{T}^l g) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \right) \right\| \leq \gamma_k^l \theta(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

G_k satisfies the equation (1) (with $A = 0$).

We obtain the sequence $\{G_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{k_0}}$ of functions satisfying (1). Letting in (7) $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get that g satisfies (4).

There exists a unique fixed point $G_k : X_0 \rightarrow Y$ of \mathcal{T} such that

$$\|g(x) - G_k(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta(c_1^k x, \dots, c_n^k x)}{|A| (1 - \gamma_k)}, \quad x \in X_0. \quad (7)$$

holds and $G_k(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathcal{T}^n g)(x)$ for $x \in X_0$.

It is easy to show that for every $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and every $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_0$

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m A_i (\mathcal{T}^l g) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \right) \right\| \leq \gamma_k^l \theta(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

G_k satisfies the equation (1) (with $A = 0$).

We obtain the sequence $\{G_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{k_0}}$ of functions satisfying (1). Letting in (7) $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get that g satisfies (4).

If $A \neq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m A_i \neq 0$ we define a function $h : X_0 \rightarrow Y$ in the following way

$$h(x) := g(x) + \frac{A}{\sum_{i=1}^m A_i}.$$

From (3)

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m A_i h \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \right) \right\| \leq \theta(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_0,$$

and consequently, the function h satisfies (1) with $A = 0$, and hence g is a solution of (4).

Applying Theorem 2 we can obtain the following result for the particular form of θ , namely for

$$\theta(x_1, \dots, x_n) = C \prod_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^{k_j}$$

with $C > 0$, $k_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^n k_j < 0$.

Applying Theorem 2 we can obtain the following result for the particular form of θ , namely for

$$\theta(x_1, \dots, x_n) = C \prod_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^{k_j}$$

with $C > 0$, $k_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^n k_j < 0$.

Notice that defined this way the function θ fulfills the condition

$$\theta(\beta x_1, \dots, \beta x_n) \leq \omega(\beta) \theta(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad \beta \in \mathbb{F}_0, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_0. \quad (2)$$

with $\omega(\beta) = |\beta|^{\sum_{j=1}^n k_j}$ for $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_0$.

Theorem 3

Assume that $g : X \rightarrow Y$ fulfills

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m A_i g\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j\right) + A \right\| \leq C \prod_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^{k_j}, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_0$$

with $C > 0$, $k_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^n k_j < 0$.

Theorem 3

Assume that $g : X \rightarrow Y$ fulfills

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m A_i g\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j\right) + A \right\| \leq C \prod_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^{k_j}, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_0$$

with $C > 0$, $k_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^n k_j < 0$.

If there exist $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq m}$ and $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq n}$ such that

$$\sum_{j \neq j_0} k_j < 0, \quad a_{i_0 j_0} \neq 0, \quad \sum_{j \neq j_0} a_{i_0 j} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j \neq j_0} a_{ij} \neq 0 \text{ for } i \neq i_0,$$

Theorem 3

Assume that $g : X \rightarrow Y$ fulfills

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m A_i g\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j\right) + A \right\| \leq C \prod_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^{k_j}, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_0$$

with $C > 0$, $k_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^n k_j < 0$.

If there exist $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq m}$ and $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq n}$ such that

$$\sum_{j \neq j_0} k_j < 0, \quad a_{i_0 j_0} \neq 0, \quad \sum_{j \neq j_0} a_{i_0 j} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j \neq j_0} a_{ij} \neq 0 \text{ for } i \neq i_0,$$

then g satisfies (4).

Theorem 3

Assume that $g : X \rightarrow Y$ fulfills

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m A_i g \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \right) + A \right\| \leq C \prod_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^{k_j}, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_0$$

with $C > 0$, $k_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^n k_j < 0$.

If there exist $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq m}$ and $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq n}$ such that

$$\sum_{j \neq j_0} k_j < 0, \quad a_{i_0 j_0} \neq 0, \quad \sum_{j \neq j_0} a_{i_0 j} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j \neq j_0} a_{ij} \neq 0 \text{ for } i \neq i_0,$$

then g satisfies (4).

Proof. Define the sequence $\{(c_1^k, \dots, c_n^k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ as follows

$$c_j^k := \begin{cases} k & \text{for } j \neq j_0 \\ \frac{1}{a_{i_0 j_0}} & \text{for } j = j_0 \end{cases}.$$

Theorem 3

Assume that $g : X \rightarrow Y$ fulfills

$$\| \sum_{i=1}^m A_i g(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j) + A \| \leq C \prod_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^{k_j}, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_0$$

with $C > 0$, $k_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^n k_j < 0$.

If there exist $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq m}$ and $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq n}$ such that

$$\sum_{j \neq j_0} k_j < 0, \quad a_{i_0 j_0} \neq 0, \quad \sum_{j \neq j_0} a_{i_0 j} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j \neq j_0} a_{ij} \neq 0 \text{ for } i \neq i_0,$$

then g satisfies (4).

Proof. Define the sequence $\{(c_1^k, \dots, c_n^k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ as follows

$$c_j^k := \begin{cases} k & \text{for } j \neq j_0 \\ \frac{1}{a_{i_0 j_0}} & \text{for } j = j_0 \end{cases}.$$

The assumptions of Theorem 2 are fulfilled with $I = \{i_0\}$, which completes the proof.

Theorem 3

Assume that $g : X \rightarrow Y$ fulfills

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m A_i g\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j\right) + A \right\| \leq C \prod_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^{k_j}, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_0$$

with $C > 0$, $k_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^n k_j < 0$.

If there exist $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq m}$ and $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq n}$ such that

$$\sum_{j \neq j_0} k_j < 0, \quad a_{i_0 j_0} \neq 0, \quad \sum_{j \neq j_0} a_{i_0 j} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j \neq j_0} a_{ij} \neq 0 \text{ for } i \neq i_0,$$

then g satisfies (4).

From Theorem 3 we obtain that linear equation (especially Cauchy, Jensen equation), quadratic equation, p-Wright equation are θ -hypercentable with $\theta(x, y) = C\|x\|^{k_1}\|y\|^{k_2}$ $C \geq 0$ and $k_1 + k_2 < 0$.

$$\Delta_{h_1 \dots h_n}^n f(x) = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\Delta_{h_1 \dots h_n}^n f(x) = 0, \quad (8)$$

where Δ denotes the difference operator defined by

$$\Delta_h f(x) = \Delta_h^1 f(x) := f(x+h) - f(x), \quad x, h \in X,$$

$$\Delta_{h_1 \dots h_{n+1}}^{n+1} f(x) = \Delta_{h_{n+1}} (\Delta_{h_1 \dots h_n}^n f(x)) \quad x, h_1 \dots h_{n+1} \in X, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Fréchet functional equation

$$\Delta_{h_1 \dots h_n}^n f(x) = 0, \quad (8)$$

where Δ denotes the difference operator defined by

$$\Delta_h f(x) = \Delta_h^1 f(x) := f(x+h) - f(x), \quad x, h \in X,$$

$$\Delta_{h_1 \dots h_{n+1}}^{n+1} f(x) = \Delta_{h_{n+1}} (\Delta_{h_1 \dots h_n}^n f(x)) \quad x, h_1 \dots h_{n+1} \in X, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Fréchet functional equation

$$\Delta_{h_1 \dots h_n}^n f(x) = 0, \quad (8)$$

where Δ denotes the difference operator defined by

$$\Delta_h f(x) = \Delta_h^1 f(x) := f(x+h) - f(x), \quad x, h \in X,$$

$$\Delta_{h_1 \dots h_{n+1}}^{n+1} f(x) = \Delta_{h_{n+1}} (\Delta_{h_1 \dots h_n}^n f(x)) \quad x, h_1 \dots h_{n+1} \in X, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$



Fréchet M., Une définition fonctionnelle des polynomes, Nouv. Ann. 9 (4) (1909), 145-162.

Corollary 4

Assume that $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$ and $g : X \rightarrow Y$ fulfills

$$\|\Delta_{x_2 \dots x_n}^{n-1} g(x_1)\| \leq \theta_l(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad l \in \{1, 2\}, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_0$$

$$\text{where } \theta_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) := (\sum_{j=1}^n C_j \|x_j\|^{k_j})^w \quad \text{with } C_j > 0, \quad w k_j < 0 \text{ for } j \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

$$\theta_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) := C \prod_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^{k_j} \quad \text{with } C > 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n k_j < 0.$$

Corollary 4

Assume that $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$ and $g : X \rightarrow Y$ fulfills

$$\|\Delta_{x_2 \dots x_n}^{n-1} g(x_1)\| \leq \theta_l(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad l \in \{1, 2\}, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_0$$

$$\text{where } \theta_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \left(\sum_{j=1}^n C_j \|x_j\|^{k_j} \right)^w \quad \text{with } C_j > 0, \quad w k_j < 0 \text{ for } j \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

$$\theta_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) := C \prod_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^{k_j} \quad \text{with } C > 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n k_j < 0.$$

Then g is a solution of the equation

$$\Delta_{x_2 \dots x_n}^{n-1} g(x_1) = 0, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_0.$$

Notice that putting $x = 0$ in

$$\Delta_{h_1 \dots h_n}^n f(x) = 0, \quad (8)$$

and assuming that $f(0) = 0$ we obtain with $n = 2$ Cauchy equation

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y),$$

and with $n = 3$ the equation

$$f(x + y + z) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) = f(x + y) + f(x + z) + f(y + z). \quad (9)$$

Denote

$$F(f, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \Delta_{x_1 \dots x_n}^n f(0) + (-1)^{n+1} f(0), \quad f \in Y^X, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X.$$

$$F(g, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \quad (10)$$

Denote

$$F(f, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \Delta_{x_1 \dots x_n}^n f(0) + (-1)^{n+1} f(0), \quad f \in Y^X, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X.$$

$$F(g, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \quad (10)$$

Corollary 5

Assume that $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$ and $g : X \rightarrow Y$ fulfills

$$\|F(g, x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \theta_l(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad l \in \{1, 2\}, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_0$$

$$\text{where } \theta_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) := (\sum_{j=1}^n C_j \|x_j\|^{k_j})^w \quad \text{with } C_j > 0, \quad w k_j < 0 \text{ for } j \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

$$\theta_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) := C \prod_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^{k_j} \quad \text{with } C > 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n k_j < 0.$$

Denote

$$F(f, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \Delta_{x_1 \dots x_n}^n f(0) + (-1)^{n+1} f(0), \quad f \in Y^X, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X.$$

$$F(g, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \quad (10)$$

Corollary 5

Assume that $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$ and $g : X \rightarrow Y$ fulfills

$$\|F(g, x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \theta_l(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad l \in \{1, 2\}, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_0$$

$$\text{where } \theta_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) := (\sum_{j=1}^n C_j \|x_j\|^{k_j})^w \quad \text{with } C_j > 0, \quad w k_j < 0 \text{ for } j \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

$$\theta_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) := C \prod_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^{k_j} \quad \text{with } C > 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n k_j < 0.$$

Then g is a solution of the equation

$$F(g, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_0.$$



Bahyrycz A., Brzdęk J., Piszczek M., Sikorska J., Hyperstability of the Frechet equation and a characterization of inner product spaces, Journal of Function Spaces and Applications 2013 Article ID 496361, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/496361>.



Brzdęk J., Hyperstability of the Cauchy equation on restricted domains, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 141(2013), 58-67.



Brzdęk J., Ciepliński, Hyperstability and Superstability, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, 2013, DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/401756>.



Cădăriu L., Radu V., Fixed point and the stability of Jensen's functional equation, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 2(2003), Art. ID 4.



Gselmann, E., Hyperstability of a functional equation, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 124 (2009), 179-188.



Maksa, Gy., Páles, Zs., Hyperstability of a class of linear functional equations, *Acta Math. Acad. Paedag. Nyiregyháziensis* 17 (2001), 107-112.



Piszczek M., Remark on hyperstability of the general linear equation, *Aequationes Math.*, 2013. DOI:10.1007/s00010-013-0214-x.



Piszczyk M., Szczawińska J., Hyperstability of the Drygas functional equation, J. Funct. Spaces Appl. 2013, Article ID 912718, 4 p.



Popa D., Raşa I., The Fréchet functional equation with application to the stability of certain operators, J. Approx. Theory 164(2012), 138-144.