

# On some modification of density zero ideal

Jarosław Swaczyna

Łódź University of Technology

joint work with Marek Balcerzak, Pratulananda Das  
and Małgorzata Filipczak

The lower and the upper densities of  $A \subset \omega$  are given by the formulas

$$\underline{d}(A) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{card}(A \cap n)}{n}$$
$$\overline{d}(A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{card}(A \cap n)}{n}.$$

If  $\underline{d}(A) = \overline{d}(A)$ , we say that the natural density of  $A$  exists and it is denoted by  $d(A)$ .

We say that a sequence  $(x_n)$  of real numbers is statistically convergent to  $g \in \mathbb{R}$  if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we have

$$d(\{n \in \omega : |g - x_n| > \epsilon\}) = 0.$$

The lower and the upper densities of  $A \subset \omega$  are given by the formulas

$$\underline{d}(A) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{card}(A \cap n)}{n}$$
$$\overline{d}(A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{card}(A \cap n)}{n}.$$

If  $\underline{d}(A) = \overline{d}(A)$ , we say that the natural density of  $A$  exists and it is denoted by  $d(A)$ .

We say that a sequence  $(x_n)$  of real numbers is statistically convergent to  $g \in \mathbb{R}$  if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we have

$$d(\{n \in \omega : |g - x_n| > \epsilon\}) = 0.$$

# Background

Let  $X$  be any set. A family  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  is called an ideal on  $X$  whenever

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $X \notin \mathcal{I}$ ,
- if  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$  then  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
- $A \subset B$  and  $B \in \mathcal{I}$  then  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ .

We will consider ideals on  $\omega$ . In this case it is natural to assume that considered ideals contains *Fin* (ideal of finite subsets of  $\omega$ ).

An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\omega$  is called a P-ideal if for every sequence  $(A_n)_{n \in \omega}$  of sets in  $\mathcal{I}$  there is a set  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $A_n \subset^* A$  for all  $n \in \omega$  (where  $A_n \subset^* A$  means that  $A_n \setminus A \in \text{Fin}$ ).

Every ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\omega$  can be treated as a subset of the Cantor space  $2^\omega$  since  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$  and  $2^\omega$  can be identified via the characteristic functions.

# Background

Let  $X$  be any set. A family  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  is called an ideal on  $X$  whenever

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $X \notin \mathcal{I}$ ,
- if  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$  then  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
- $A \subset B$  and  $B \in \mathcal{I}$  then  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ .

We will consider ideals on  $\omega$ . In this case it is natural to assume that considered ideals contains *Fin* (ideal of finite subsets of  $\omega$ ).

An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\omega$  is called a P-ideal if for every sequence  $(A_n)_{n \in \omega}$  of sets in  $\mathcal{I}$  there is a set  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $A_n \subset^* A$  for all  $n \in \omega$  (where  $A_n \subset^* A$  means that  $A_n \setminus A \in \text{Fin}$ ).

Every ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\omega$  can be treated as a subset of the Cantor space  $2^\omega$  since  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$  and  $2^\omega$  can be identified via the characteristic functions.

# Background

Let  $X$  be any set. A family  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  is called an ideal on  $X$  whenever

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $X \notin \mathcal{I}$ ,
- if  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$  then  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
- $A \subset B$  and  $B \in \mathcal{I}$  then  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ .

We will consider ideals on  $\omega$ . In this case it is natural to assume that considered ideals contains  $Fin$  (ideal of finite subsets of  $\omega$ ).

An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\omega$  is called a P-ideal if for every sequence  $(A_n)_{n \in \omega}$  of sets in  $\mathcal{I}$  there is a set  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $A_n \subset^* A$  for all  $n \in \omega$  (where  $A_n \subset^* A$  means that  $A_n \setminus A \in Fin$ ).

Every ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\omega$  can be treated as a subset of the Cantor space  $2^\omega$  since  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$  and  $2^\omega$  can be identified via the characteristic functions.

# Background

Let  $X$  be any set. A family  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  is called an ideal on  $X$  whenever

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $X \notin \mathcal{I}$ ,
- if  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$  then  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
- $A \subset B$  and  $B \in \mathcal{I}$  then  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ .

We will consider ideals on  $\omega$ . In this case it is natural to assume that considered ideals contains *Fin* (ideal of finite subsets of  $\omega$ ).

An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\omega$  is called a P-ideal if for every sequence  $(A_n)_{n \in \omega}$  of sets in  $\mathcal{I}$  there is a set  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $A_n \subset^* A$  for all  $n \in \omega$  (where  $A_n \subset^* A$  means that  $A_n \setminus A \in \text{Fin}$ ).

Every ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\omega$  can be treated as a subset of the Cantor space  $2^\omega$  since  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$  and  $2^\omega$  can be identified via the characteristic functions.

A submeasure on  $\omega$  is a function  $\varphi: \mathcal{P}(\omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that:

- $\varphi(\emptyset) = 0$ ;
- if  $A \subset B$  then  $\varphi(A) \leq \varphi(B)$ ,
- $\varphi(A \cup B) \leq \varphi(A) + \varphi(B)$ ,
- $\varphi(\{n\}) < \infty$  for all  $n \in \omega$ .

A submeasure  $\varphi$  is called a lower semicontinuous submeasure (in short, lscsm) if  $\varphi(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A \cap n)$  for all  $A \subset \omega$ . For any lscsm  $\varphi$ , we consider two ideals given by

$$Exh(\varphi) = \{A \subset \omega: \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A \setminus n) = 0\}.$$

$$Fin(\varphi) = \{A \subset \omega: \varphi(A) < \infty\}.$$



A submeasure on  $\omega$  is a function  $\varphi: \mathcal{P}(\omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that:

- $\varphi(\emptyset) = 0$ ;
- if  $A \subset B$  then  $\varphi(A) \leq \varphi(B)$ ,
- $\varphi(A \cup B) \leq \varphi(A) + \varphi(B)$ ,
- $\varphi(\{n\}) < \infty$  for all  $n \in \omega$ .

A submeasure  $\varphi$  is called a lower semicontinuous submeasure (in short, lscsm) if  $\varphi(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A \cap n)$  for all  $A \subset \omega$ . For any lscsm  $\varphi$ , we consider two ideals given by

$$Exh(\varphi) = \{A \subset \omega: \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A \setminus n) = 0\}.$$

$$Fin(\varphi) = \{A \subset \omega: \varphi(A) < \infty\}.$$

A submeasure on  $\omega$  is a function  $\varphi: \mathcal{P}(\omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that:

- $\varphi(\emptyset) = 0$ ;
- if  $A \subset B$  then  $\varphi(A) \leq \varphi(B)$ ,
- $\varphi(A \cup B) \leq \varphi(A) + \varphi(B)$ ,
- $\varphi(\{n\}) < \infty$  for all  $n \in \omega$ .

A submeasure  $\varphi$  is called a lower semicontinuous submeasure (in short, lscsm) if  $\varphi(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A \cap n)$  for all  $A \subset \omega$ . For any lscsm  $\varphi$ , we consider two ideals given by

$$Exh(\varphi) = \{A \subset \omega: \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A \setminus n) = 0\}.$$

$$Fin(\varphi) = \{A \subset \omega: \varphi(A) < \infty\}.$$

A submeasure on  $\omega$  is a function  $\varphi: \mathcal{P}(\omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that:

- $\varphi(\emptyset) = 0$ ;
- if  $A \subset B$  then  $\varphi(A) \leq \varphi(B)$ ,
- $\varphi(A \cup B) \leq \varphi(A) + \varphi(B)$ ,
- $\varphi(\{n\}) < \infty$  for all  $n \in \omega$ .

A submeasure  $\varphi$  is called a lower semicontinuous submeasure (in short, lscsm) if  $\varphi(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A \cap n)$  for all  $A \subset \omega$ . For any lscsm  $\varphi$ , we consider two ideals given by

$$Exh(\varphi) = \{A \subset \omega: \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A \setminus n) = 0\}.$$

$$Fin(\varphi) = \{A \subset \omega: \varphi(A) < \infty\}.$$

Let  $\varphi$  be a lscsm. Then  $Exh(\varphi)$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  P-ideal,  $Fin(\varphi)$  is an  $F_\sigma$  ideal and  $Exh(\varphi) \subset Fin(\varphi)$ .

Theorem [Mazur, Solecki]

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal on  $\omega$ . Then

- $\mathcal{I}$  is an  $F_\sigma$  ideal if and only if  $\mathcal{I} = Fin(\varphi)$  for some lscsm  $\varphi$ .
- $\mathcal{I}$  is an analytic P-ideal if and only if  $\mathcal{I} = Exh(\varphi)$  for some lscsm  $\varphi$ .
- $\mathcal{I}$  is an  $F_\sigma$  P-ideal if and only if  $\mathcal{I} = Fin(\varphi) = Exh(\varphi)$  for some lscsm  $\varphi$ .

If  $\mathcal{I}$  is ideal on  $\omega$  then it is not a  $G_\delta$  set.

Let  $\varphi$  be a lscsm. Then  $Exh(\varphi)$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  P-ideal,  $Fin(\varphi)$  is an  $F_\sigma$  ideal and  $Exh(\varphi) \subset Fin(\varphi)$ .

## Theorem [Mazur, Solecki]

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal on  $\omega$ . Then

- $\mathcal{I}$  is an  $F_\sigma$  ideal if and only if  $\mathcal{I} = Fin(\varphi)$  for some lscsm  $\varphi$ .
- $\mathcal{I}$  is an analytic P-ideal if and only if  $\mathcal{I} = Exh(\varphi)$  for some lscsm  $\varphi$ .
- $\mathcal{I}$  is an  $F_\sigma$  P-ideal if and only if  $\mathcal{I} = Fin(\varphi) = Exh(\varphi)$  for some lscsm  $\varphi$ .

If  $\mathcal{I}$  is ideal on  $\omega$  then it is not a  $G_\delta$  set.

We define a density ideal  $\mathcal{I}_d$  as  $\mathcal{I}_d := \{A \subset \omega : d(A) = 0\}$ . It may be shown that  $\mathcal{I}_d = \text{Exh}(\varphi)$  for lscsm  $\varphi$  given by

$$\varphi(A) = \sup_{n \in \omega} \frac{\text{card}(A \cap n)}{n} \quad \text{for } A \subset \omega.$$

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal on  $\omega$ . We say that sequence  $(x_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $g$  if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we have  $\{n \in \omega : |g - x_n| > \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$ .

Statistical convergence is exactly  $\mathcal{I}_d$  - convergence.

We define a density ideal  $\mathcal{I}_d$  as  $\mathcal{I}_d := \{A \subset \omega : d(A) = 0\}$ . It may be shown that  $\mathcal{I}_d = \text{Exh}(\varphi)$  for lscsm  $\varphi$  given by

$$\varphi(A) = \sup_{n \in \omega} \frac{\text{card}(A \cap n)}{n} \quad \text{for } A \subset \omega.$$

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal on  $\omega$ . We say that sequence  $(x_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $g$  if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we have  $\{n \in \omega : |g - x_n| > \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$ .

Statistical convergence is exactly  $\mathcal{I}_d$  - convergence.

We define a density ideal  $\mathcal{I}_d$  as  $\mathcal{I}_d := \{A \subset \omega : d(A) = 0\}$ . It may be shown that  $\mathcal{I}_d = \text{Exh}(\varphi)$  for lscsm  $\varphi$  given by

$$\varphi(A) = \sup_{n \in \omega} \frac{\text{card}(A \cap n)}{n} \quad \text{for } A \subset \omega.$$

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal on  $\omega$ . We say that sequence  $(x_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $g$  if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we have  $\{n \in \omega : |g - x_n| > \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$ .

Statistical convergence is exactly  $\mathcal{I}_d$  - convergence.



In 2012 Das, Bhunia and Pal generalized statistical convergence. They introduced upper and lower densities of order  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  in the following way:

$$\underline{d}_\alpha(A) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{card}(A \cap n)}{n^\alpha}$$

$$\overline{d}_\alpha(A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{card}(A \cap n)}{n^\alpha}.$$

If  $\underline{d}_\alpha(A) = \overline{d}_\alpha(A)$ , we say that the natural density of order  $\alpha$  of  $A$  exists and denote it by  $d_\alpha(A)$ .

They considered possible consequences of such idea, especially properties of  $\alpha$ -statistically convergent sequences.

In 2012 Das, Bhunia and Pal generalized statistical convergence. They introduced upper and lower densities of order  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  in the following way:

$$\underline{d}_\alpha(A) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{card}(A \cap n)}{n^\alpha}$$

$$\overline{d}_\alpha(A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{card}(A \cap n)}{n^\alpha}.$$

If  $\underline{d}_\alpha(A) = \overline{d}_\alpha(A)$ , we say that the natural density of order  $\alpha$  of  $A$  exists and denote it by  $d_\alpha(A)$ .

They considered possible consequences of such idea, especially properties of  $\alpha$ -statistically convergent sequences.

In 2012 Das, Bhunia and Pal generalized statistical convergence. They introduced upper and lower densities of order  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  in the following way:

$$\underline{d}_\alpha(A) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{card}(A \cap n)}{n^\alpha}$$

$$\overline{d}_\alpha(A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{card}(A \cap n)}{n^\alpha}.$$

If  $\underline{d}_\alpha(A) = \overline{d}_\alpha(A)$ , we say that the natural density of order  $\alpha$  of  $A$  exists and denote it by  $d_\alpha(A)$ .

They considered possible consequences of such idea, especially properties of  $\alpha$ -statistically convergent sequences.

This idea can go further. We fix any function  $g: \omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(n) = \infty$ . Then we define the upper density of weight  $g$  by the formula

$$\overline{d}_g(A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{card}(A \cap n)}{g(n)} \quad \text{for } A \subset \omega$$

Consider the following family

$$\mathbb{Z}_g := \{A \subset \omega : \overline{d}_g(A) = 0\}.$$

Of course  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}_g \iff n/g(n) \rightarrow 0$ . So, if we additionally assume  $n/g(n) \nrightarrow 0$  then  $\omega \notin \mathbb{Z}_g$ , and we observe that  $\mathbb{Z}_g$  is an ideal on  $\omega$ . Note that  $\text{Fin} \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}_g$ .

This idea can go further. We fix any function  $g: \omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(n) = \infty$ . Then we define the upper density of weight  $g$  by the formula

$$\overline{d}_g(A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{card}(A \cap n)}{g(n)} \quad \text{for } A \subset \omega$$

Consider the following family

$$\mathbb{Z}_g := \{A \subset \omega : \overline{d}_g(A) = 0\}.$$

Of course  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}_g \iff n/g(n) \rightarrow 0$ . So, if we additionally assume  $n/g(n) \nrightarrow 0$  then  $\omega \notin \mathbb{Z}_g$ , and we observe that  $\mathbb{Z}_g$  is an ideal on  $\omega$ . Note that  $\text{Fin} \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}_g$ .

## Proposition

If  $g: \omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is such that  $g(n) \rightarrow \infty$  and  $n/g(n) \nrightarrow 0$ , then the ideal  $\mathbb{Z}_g$  is equal to  $Exh(\varphi)$  where

$$\varphi(A) = \sup_{n \in \omega} \frac{\text{card}(A \cap n)}{g(n)} \quad \text{for } A \subset \omega,$$

and  $\varphi$  is a lower semicontinuous submeasure on  $\omega$ . Consequently,  $\mathbb{Z}_g$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  P-ideal on  $\omega$ .

## Definition

Let us denote set of all functions  $g: \omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying conditions  $g(n) \rightarrow \infty$  and  $n/g(n) \nrightarrow 0$  by  $G$ .

## Proposition

If  $g: \omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is such that  $g(n) \rightarrow \infty$  and  $n/g(n) \nrightarrow 0$ , then the ideal  $\mathbb{Z}_g$  is equal to  $Exh(\varphi)$  where

$$\varphi(A) = \sup_{n \in \omega} \frac{\text{card}(A \cap n)}{g(n)} \quad \text{for } A \subset \omega,$$

and  $\varphi$  is a lower semicontinuous submeasure on  $\omega$ . Consequently,  $\mathbb{Z}_g$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  P-ideal on  $\omega$ .

## Definition

Let us denote set of all functions  $g: \omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying conditions  $g(n) \rightarrow \infty$  and  $n/g(n) \nrightarrow 0$  by  $G$ .

## Proposition

Let  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  be such that there exist  $M > 0$  and  $k \in \omega$  such that  $g_1(n)/g_2(n) \leq M$  for all  $n \geq k$ . Then  $\mathbb{Z}_{g_1} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{g_2}$ . Consequently, if there exist  $0 < m < M$  and  $k \in \omega$  such that  $m \leq g_1(n)/g_2(n) \leq M$  for all  $n \geq k$ , then  $\mathbb{Z}_{g_1} = \mathbb{Z}_{g_2}$ .

## Proposition

For each function  $f \in G$  there exists a nondecreasing function  $g \in G$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}_g = \mathbb{Z}_f$ .



## Proposition

Let  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  be such that there exist  $M > 0$  and  $k \in \omega$  such that  $g_1(n)/g_2(n) \leq M$  for all  $n \geq k$ . Then  $\mathbb{Z}_{g_1} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{g_2}$ . Consequently, if there exist  $0 < m < M$  and  $k \in \omega$  such that  $m \leq g_1(n)/g_2(n) \leq M$  for all  $n \geq k$ , then  $\mathbb{Z}_{g_1} = \mathbb{Z}_{g_2}$ .

## Proposition

For each function  $f \in G$  there exists a nondecreasing function  $g \in G$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}_g = \mathbb{Z}_f$ .

## Lemma

Let  $f \in G$  be such that  $n/f(n) \rightarrow \infty$ . Then there exists a set  $A \subset \omega$  such that the sequence  $(\text{card}(A \cap n)/f(n))$  is bounded but not convergent to 0.

## Theorem

If  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  are such that  $n/g_2(n) \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $g_2(n)/g_1(n) \rightarrow \infty$  then  $\mathbb{Z}_{g_1} \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}_{g_2}$ . If  $g \in G$  and  $n/g(n) \rightarrow \infty$  then  $\mathbb{Z}_g \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}$ .

## Lemma

Let  $f \in G$  be such that  $n/f(n) \rightarrow \infty$ . Then there exists a set  $A \subset \omega$  such that the sequence  $(\text{card}(A \cap n)/f(n))$  is bounded but not convergent to 0.

## Theorem

If  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  are such that  $n/g_2(n) \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $g_2(n)/g_1(n) \rightarrow \infty$  then  $\mathbb{Z}_{g_1} \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}_{g_2}$ . If  $g \in G$  and  $n/g(n) \rightarrow \infty$  then  $\mathbb{Z}_g \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}$ .

# Examples

There exists a function  $g \in G$  such that  $\mathcal{Z}_g \subsetneq \mathcal{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_g$  is different from any ideal generated by a function of the form  $n^\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

There exists a function  $g \in G$  such that  $\mathcal{Z} \subsetneq \mathcal{Z}_g$

There exists a function  $g \in G$  such that  $\mathcal{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_g$  are incomparable with respect to inclusion.

## Theorem

There exists a family  $G_0 \subset G$  of cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}_f$  is incomparable with  $\mathbb{Z}$  for every  $f \in G$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}_f$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_g$  are incomparable for any distinct  $f, g \in G_0$ .

# Examples

There exists a function  $g \in G$  such that  $\mathcal{Z}_g \subsetneq \mathcal{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_g$  is different from any ideal generated by a function of the form  $n^\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

There exists a function  $g \in G$  such that  $\mathcal{Z} \subsetneq \mathcal{Z}_g$

There exists a function  $g \in G$  such that  $\mathcal{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_g$  are incomparable with respect to inclusion.

## Theorem

There exists a family  $G_0 \subset G$  of cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}_f$  is incomparable with  $\mathbb{Z}$  for every  $f \in G$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}_f$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_g$  are incomparable for any distinct  $f, g \in G_0$ .

# Examples

There exists a function  $g \in G$  such that  $\mathcal{Z}_g \subsetneq \mathcal{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_g$  is different from any ideal generated by a function of the form  $n^\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

There exists a function  $g \in G$  such that  $\mathcal{Z} \subsetneq \mathcal{Z}_g$

There exists a function  $g \in G$  such that  $\mathcal{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_g$  are incomparable with respect to inclusion.

## Theorem

There exists a family  $G_0 \subset G$  of cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}_f$  is incomparable with  $\mathbb{Z}$  for every  $f \in G$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}_f$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_g$  are incomparable for any distinct  $f, g \in G_0$ .

# Examples

There exists a function  $g \in G$  such that  $\mathcal{Z}_g \subsetneq \mathcal{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_g$  is different from any ideal generated by a function of the form  $n^\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

There exists a function  $g \in G$  such that  $\mathcal{Z} \subsetneq \mathcal{Z}_g$

There exists a function  $g \in G$  such that  $\mathcal{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_g$  are incomparable with respect to inclusion.

## Theorem

There exists a family  $G_0 \subset G$  of cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}_f$  is incomparable with  $\mathbb{Z}$  for every  $f \in G$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}_f$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_g$  are incomparable for any distinct  $f, g \in G_0$ .

Thank you for your attention!



S. Bhunia, P. Das, S.K. Pal, *Restricting statistical convergence*, Acta Math. Hungar. 134 (2012), 153–161.

I. Farah, *Analytic quotients. Theory of lifting for quotients over analytic ideals on integers*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 148 (2000).

H. Fast, *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math. 2 (1951), 241-244.

P. Kostyrko, T. Šalat, W. Wilczyński, *J-convergence*, Real Anal. Exchange 26 (2000/01), 669-685.

D. Meza Alcántara, *Ideals and filters on countable sets*, PhD thesis, Univ. Nacional Autónoma de México, June 2009.

T. Šalat, *On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers*, Math. Slovaca 30 (1980), 139-150.

S. Solecki, *Analytic ideals and their applications*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 99 (1999), 51-71.