

Faculty of Mathematics
and Computer Science
University of Łódź

On semiregularization of some abstract density topologies

Jacek Hejduk & Renata Wiertelak

The 28th International Summer Conference
on Real Functions Theory
Stara Lesna, August 31-September 5, 2014

Let $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ be a topological Baire space and $\mathbb{K}(\tau)$, $\mathcal{B}a(\tau)$ denote the family of all meager sets and the family of all sets having the Baire property in $\langle X, \tau \rangle$, respectively. By $\mathcal{B}(\tau)$ we shall denote the family of Borel sets in $\langle X, \tau \rangle$.

Let $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ be a topological Baire space and $\mathbb{K}(\tau)$, $\mathcal{B}a(\tau)$ denote the family of all meager sets and the family of all sets having the Baire property in $\langle X, \tau \rangle$, respectively. By $\mathcal{B}(\tau)$ we shall denote the family of Borel sets in $\langle X, \tau \rangle$.

Let τ_0 stands for the natural topology on \mathbb{R} and the family of meager sets, having the Baire property and Borel sets in $\langle \mathbb{R}, \tau_0 \rangle$ is denoted by \mathbb{K} , $\mathcal{B}a$ and \mathcal{B} , respectively.

By $\mathcal{C}(\langle X, \tau \rangle)$ we shall denote the family of all continuous transformations from $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ to $\langle \mathbb{R}, \tau_0 \rangle$.

Let $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ be a topological Baire space and $\mathbb{K}(\tau)$, $\mathcal{B}a(\tau)$ denote the family of all meager sets and the family of all sets having the Baire property in $\langle X, \tau \rangle$, respectively. By $\mathcal{B}(\tau)$ we shall denote the family of Borel sets in $\langle X, \tau \rangle$.

Let τ_0 stands for the natural topology on \mathbb{R} and the family of meager sets, having the Baire property and Borel sets in $\langle \mathbb{R}, \tau_0 \rangle$ is denoted by \mathbb{K} , $\mathcal{B}a$ and \mathcal{B} , respectively.

By $\mathcal{C}(\langle X, \tau \rangle)$ we shall denote the family of all continuous transformations from $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ to $\langle \mathbb{R}, \tau_0 \rangle$.

Let λ be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} , \mathcal{L} the family of Lebesgue measurable sets and \mathbb{L} the family of null Lebesgue sets.

If \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{D} are families of subsets of X , then

$$\mathcal{C} \ominus \mathcal{D} = \{A \subset X : A = C \setminus D, C \in \mathcal{C}, D \in \mathcal{D}\}.$$

Let $\Phi: \tau \rightarrow 2^X$ be an operator satisfying the following conditions:

- i) $\Phi(\emptyset) = \emptyset, \quad \Phi(X) = X;$
- ii) $\forall_{A, B \in \tau} \Phi(A \cap B) = \Phi(A) \cap \Phi(B);$
- iii) $\forall_{A \in \tau} A \subset \Phi(A).$

Let $\Phi: \tau \rightarrow 2^X$ be an operator satisfying the following conditions:

- i) $\Phi(\emptyset) = \emptyset, \quad \Phi(X) = X;$
- ii) $\forall_{A, B \in \tau} \Phi(A \cap B) = \Phi(A) \cap \Phi(B);$
- iii) $\forall_{A \in \tau} A \subset \Phi(A).$

Let $\Phi(X, \tau)$ stand for the family of all operators satisfying the above conditions. We call this set as the family of all admissible operators on τ .

Let $\Phi: \tau \rightarrow 2^X$ be an operator satisfying the following conditions:

- i) $\Phi(\emptyset) = \emptyset, \quad \Phi(X) = X;$
- ii) $\forall_{A, B \in \tau} \Phi(A \cap B) = \Phi(A) \cap \Phi(B);$
- iii) $\forall_{A \in \tau} A \subset \Phi(A).$

Let $\Phi(X, \tau)$ stand for the family of all operators satisfying the above conditions. We call this set as the family of all admissible operators on τ . It is well known that every set $A \in \mathcal{B}a(\tau)$ has the unique representation:

$$A = G(A) \triangle B,$$

where $G(A)$ is regular open set in $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ and $B \in \mathbb{K}(\tau)$.

Let $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$. Let us define operator $\Phi_\tau: \mathcal{B}a(\tau) \rightarrow 2^X$ in the following way

$$\forall_{A \in \mathcal{B}a(\tau)} \Phi_\tau(A) = \Phi(G(A)).$$

Let $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$. Let us define operator $\Phi_r: \mathcal{B}a(\tau) \rightarrow 2^X$ in the following way

$$\forall_{A \in \mathcal{B}a(\tau)} \Phi_r(A) = \Phi(G(A)).$$

Theorem 1.

For every $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$ operator Φ_r is a lower density operator on $(X, \mathcal{B}a(\tau), \mathbb{K}(\tau))$ and the family

$$\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} = \{A \in \mathcal{B}a(\tau) : A \subset \Phi_r(A)\}$$

is an abstract density topology on $(X, \mathcal{B}a(\tau), \mathbb{K}(\tau))$ containing τ and

- a) $A \in \mathbb{K}(\tau)$ if and only if A is a \mathcal{T}_{Φ_r} -nowhere dense and \mathcal{T}_{Φ_r} -closed;*
- b) $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r}) = \mathbb{K}(\tau)$;*
- c) $\mathcal{B}a(\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r}) = \mathcal{B}a(\tau)$;*
- d) $\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle$ is a Baire space.*

Remark 1.

If $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ is a topological discrete space, then for every operator $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$ we get that $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} = \tau = 2^X$.

Remark 1.

If $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ is a topological discrete space, then for every operator $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$ we get that $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} = \tau = 2^X$.

Remark 2.

If $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ contains a dense subset $A \in \mathbb{K}(\tau)$, then $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \setminus \tau$, so $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \setminus \tau \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 1.

If $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ is a topological discrete space, then for every operator $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$ we get that $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} = \tau = 2^X$.

Remark 2.

If $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ contains a dense subset $A \in \mathbb{K}(\tau)$, then $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \setminus \tau$, so $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \setminus \tau \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 3.

If Φ is a lower (almost lower) density operator on $(X, \mathcal{B}a(\tau), \mathbb{K}(\tau))$, then $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} = \mathcal{T}_{\Phi}$, where $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi} = \{A \in \mathcal{B}a(\tau) : A \subset \Phi(A)\}$.

Remark 1.

If $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ is a topological discrete space, then for every operator $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$ we get that $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} = \tau = 2^X$.

Remark 2.

If $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ contains a dense subset $A \in \mathbb{K}(\tau)$, then $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \setminus \tau$, so $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \setminus \tau \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 3.

If Φ is a lower (almost lower) density operator on $(X, \mathcal{B}a(\tau), \mathbb{K}(\tau))$, then $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} = \mathcal{T}_{\Phi}$, where $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi} = \{A \in \mathcal{B}a(\tau) : A \subset \Phi(A)\}$.

Remark 4.

If Φ is a lower (almost lower) density operator on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}, \mathbb{L})$, then $\Phi \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}, \tau_0)$ and the topologies \mathcal{T}_{Φ_r} and \mathcal{T}_{Φ} , where \mathcal{T}_{Φ} is topology generated by operator Φ , are not comparable.

Theorem 2.

Let $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ be a topological Baire space and $\Phi(A) = A$ for every $A \in \tau$. Then $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} = \tau \ominus \mathbb{K}(\tau)$.

Theorem 2.

Let $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ be a topological Baire space and $\Phi(A) = A$ for every $A \in \tau$. Then $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} = \tau \ominus \mathbb{K}(\tau)$.

Corollary 1.

$$\bigcap_{\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)} \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} = \tau \ominus \mathbb{K}(\tau).$$

Let $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$ and let

$$\mathcal{T}_{\Phi'_\tau} = \{A \subset X : A = W \cup B, W \in \tau, B \subset \Phi(W)\}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\Phi''_\tau} = \{A \subset X : A = W \cup B, W \in \tau, B \subset \Phi(G(W))\}.$$

Let $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$ and let

$$\mathcal{T}_{\Phi'_r} = \{A \subset X : A = W \cup B, W \in \tau, B \subset \Phi(W)\}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\Phi''_r} = \{A \subset X : A = W \cup B, W \in \tau, B \subset \Phi(G(W))\}.$$

Theorem 3.

The families $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi'_r}$, $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi''_r}$ are topologies such that $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi'_r} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\Phi''_r} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r}$.

Moreover,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\Phi''_r} = \{A \in \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} : A = W \cup B, W \in \tau, B \in \mathbb{K}(\tau)\}.$$

Theorem 4.

If $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$, then

$$\mathcal{T}_{\Phi'_r} \ominus \mathbb{K}(\tau) = \mathcal{T}_{\Phi''_r} \ominus \mathbb{K}(\tau) = \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r}.$$

Theorem 4.

If $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$, then

$$\mathcal{T}_{\Phi'_r} \ominus \mathbb{K}(\tau) = \mathcal{T}_{\Phi''_r} \ominus \mathbb{K}(\tau) = \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r}.$$

This theorem implies that

Theorem 5.

If $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$, then

$$\mathcal{C}(\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi'_r} \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi''_r} \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle).$$

In many cases the topological space $\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle$ is not completely regular and even not regular. Namely we have

Theorem 6.

If $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ is a topological Baire space such that there exists a τ -dense set $A \in \mathbb{K}(\tau)$, then for every $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$ topological space $\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle$ is not regular.

In many cases the topological space $\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle$ is not completely regular and even not regular. Namely we have

Theorem 6.

If $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ is a topological Baire space such that there exists a τ -dense set $A \in \mathbb{K}(\tau)$, then for every $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$ topological space $\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle$ is not regular.

Since that we are looking for a coarsest topology $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r}$ such that

$$\mathcal{C}(\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle X, \mathcal{T} \rangle).$$

In some cases topology $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi'_r}$ satisfies this condition.

Example 1.

R. O'Malley introduced a.e. topology on \mathbb{R} . A set A is a.e. open if $A \in \mathcal{T}_d$ and $\lambda(A \setminus \text{int}(A)) = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{T}_{a.e.}$ be the family of all a.e. open sets.

Example 1.

R. O'Malley introduced a.e. topology on \mathbb{R} . A set A is a.e. open if $A \in \mathcal{T}_d$ and $\lambda(A \setminus \text{int}(A)) = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{T}_{a.e.}$ be the family of all a.e. open sets.

Property 1.

If $\Phi = \Phi_d$, then $\Phi \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}, \tau_0)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{a.e.} = \mathcal{T}_{\Phi'_r}$.

Example 1.

R. O'Malley introduced a.e. topology on \mathbb{R} . A set A is a.e. open if $A \in \mathcal{T}_d$ and $\lambda(A \setminus \text{int}(A)) = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{T}_{a.e.}$ be the family of all a.e. open sets.

Property 1.

If $\Phi = \Phi_d$, then $\Phi \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}, \tau_0)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{a.e.} = \mathcal{T}_{\Phi'_r}$.

O'Malley proved that the space $\langle \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{a.e.} \rangle$ is completely regular. Thus $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi'_r}$ is the coarsest topology such that

$$\mathcal{C}(\langle \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi'_r} \rangle).$$

In the next part we shall consider the topology included in $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi'_r}$.

Let $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ be a topological Baire space and $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$.

We shall say that topology generated by \mathcal{T}_{Φ_r} -regular open sets is **semiregularization** of topological space $\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle$ and denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}}$. (A is \mathcal{T}_{Φ_r} -regular open set if and only if $A = \Phi_r(A)$).

The concept of semiregularization of the abstract density topology was discussed by K. Ciesielski, W. Wilczyński, W. Wojdowski.

In the next part we shall consider the topology included in $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi'_r}$.

Let $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ be a topological Baire space and $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$.

We shall say that topology generated by \mathcal{T}_{Φ_r} -regular open sets is **semiregularization** of topological space $\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle$ and denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}}$. (A is \mathcal{T}_{Φ_r} -regular open set if and only if $A = \Phi_r(A)$).

The concept of semiregularization of the abstract density topology was discussed by K. Ciesielski, W. Wilczyński, W. Wojdowski.

Theorem 7.

If $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$, then $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\Phi'_r}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}} \ominus \mathbb{K}(\tau) = \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r}$.

In the next part we shall consider the topology included in $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi'_r}$.

Let $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ be a topological Baire space and $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$.

We shall say that topology generated by \mathcal{T}_{Φ_r} -regular open sets is **semiregularization** of topological space $\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle$ and denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}}$. (A is \mathcal{T}_{Φ_r} -regular open set if and only if $A = \Phi_r(A)$).

The concept of semiregularization of the abstract density topology was discussed by K. Ciesielski, W. Wilczyński, W. Wojdowski.

Theorem 7.

If $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$, then $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\Phi'_r}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}} \ominus \mathbb{K}(\tau) = \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r}$.

Corollary 2.

$\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\Phi'_r} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\Phi''_r} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r}$ and
 $\mathcal{C}(\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}} \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi'_r} \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi''_r} \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle)$.

Evidently, in the case of operator Φ_d and O'Malley topology $\mathcal{T}_{a.e.}$ we have that $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}} = \mathcal{T}_{a.e.}$.

In the next part we shall consider the topology included in $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi'_r}$.

Let $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ be a topological Baire space and $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$.

We shall say that topology generated by \mathcal{T}_{Φ_r} -regular open sets is **semiregularization** of topological space $\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle$ and denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}}$. (A is \mathcal{T}_{Φ_r} -regular open set if and only if $A = \Phi_r(A)$).

The concept of semiregularization of the abstract density topology was discussed by K. Ciesielski, W. Wilczyński, W. Wojdowski.

Theorem 7.

If $\Phi \in \Phi(X, \tau)$, then $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\Phi'_r}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}} \ominus \mathbb{K}(\tau) = \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r}$.

Corollary 2.

$\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\Phi'_r} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\Phi''_r} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r}$ and
 $\mathcal{C}(\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}} \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi'_r} \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi''_r} \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle)$.

Evidently, in the case of operator Φ_d and O'Malley topology $\mathcal{T}_{a.e.}$ we have that $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}} = \mathcal{T}_{a.e.}$.

The following example shows that semiregularization $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}}$ does not have to be the coarsest topology such that

$$\mathcal{C}(\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}} \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle)$$

Example 2.

Let $A = (1, \infty)$, $B = (-\infty, -1)$. The family $\tau = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, A, B, A \cup B\}$ is a topology such that $\mathcal{C}(\langle X, \tau \rangle) = \text{const.}$

Example 2.

Let $A = (1, \infty)$, $B = (-\infty, -1)$. The family $\tau = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, A, B, A \cup B\}$ is a topology such that $\mathcal{C}(\langle X, \tau \rangle) = \text{const}$.

Let $\tau' = \tau \ominus J_\omega = \{A \subset \mathbb{R} : A = V \setminus P, V \in \tau, P \text{ is countable}\}$.

Then τ' is the topological Baire space T_1 .

Example 2.

Let $A = (1, \infty)$, $B = (-\infty, -1)$. The family $\tau = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, A, B, A \cup B\}$ is a topology such that $\mathcal{C}(\langle X, \tau \rangle) = \text{const.}$

Let $\tau' = \tau \ominus J_\omega = \{A \subset \mathbb{R} : A = V \setminus P, V \in \tau, P \text{ is countable}\}$.

Then τ' is the topological Baire space T_1 .

Putting $\Phi(W) = W$ for $W \in \tau'$ we get that $\Phi \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}, \tau')$ and

$\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} = \tau' \ominus \mathbb{K}(\tau')$. Hence

$$\mathcal{C}(\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle X, \tau' \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle X, \tau \rangle) = \text{const.}$$

Example 2.

Let $A = (1, \infty)$, $B = (-\infty, -1)$. The family $\tau = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, A, B, A \cup B\}$ is a topology such that $\mathcal{C}(\langle X, \tau \rangle) = \text{const.}$

Let $\tau' = \tau \ominus J_\omega = \{A \subset \mathbb{R} : A = V \setminus P, V \in \tau, P \text{ is countable}\}$.

Then τ' is the topological Baire space T_1 .

Putting $\Phi(W) = W$ for $W \in \tau'$ we get that $\Phi \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}, \tau')$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} = \tau' \ominus \mathbb{K}(\tau')$. Hence

$$\mathcal{C}(\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle X, \tau' \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle X, \tau \rangle) = \text{const.}$$

Simultaneously $A \in \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}}$, because A is τ' -regular open and

$$\Phi_r(A) = \Phi(G(A)) = G(A) = A.$$

Example 2.

Let $A = (1, \infty)$, $B = (-\infty, -1)$. The family $\tau = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, A, B, A \cup B\}$ is a topology such that $\mathcal{C}(\langle X, \tau \rangle) = \text{const.}$

Let $\tau' = \tau \ominus J_\omega = \{A \subset \mathbb{R} : A = V \setminus P, V \in \tau, P \text{ is countable}\}$.

Then τ' is the topological Baire space T_1 .

Putting $\Phi(W) = W$ for $W \in \tau'$ we get that $\Phi \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}, \tau')$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} = \tau' \ominus \mathbb{K}(\tau')$. Hence

$$\mathcal{C}(\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle X, \tau' \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle X, \tau \rangle) = \text{const.}$$

Simultaneously $A \in \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}}$, because A is τ' -regular open and

$$\Phi_r(A) = \Phi(G(A)) = G(A) = A.$$

Similarly $B \in \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}}$. So that $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}}$ is not the coarsest topology such that

$$\mathcal{C}(\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}} \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle X, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle).$$

because this topology is $\mathcal{T} = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}\}$.

The next theorem shows that under additional assumptions semiregularization $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}}$ topological space $\langle \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle$ is the coarsest topology such that

$$\mathcal{C}(\langle \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}} \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle).$$

The next theorem shows that under additional assumptions semiregularization $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{r_o}}$ topological space $\langle \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle$ is the coarsest topology such that

$$\mathcal{C}(\langle \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{r_o}} \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle).$$

Theorem 8.

Let $\Phi \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}, \tau_o)$. If for every τ_0 -regular open set V and for every $x \in \Phi(V)$ there exists at x an interval closed set $F \subset V$ such that $x \in \Phi(\text{int}(F))$ and $\tau_0 \subset \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{r_o}}$, then semiregularization $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{r_o}}$ is the coarsest topology such that

$$\mathcal{C}(\langle \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{r_o}} \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle).$$

Corollary 3.

Let $\Phi \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}, \tau_0)$ and for every set $A \in \tau_0$ every $x \in \Phi(A)$ be the both-side accumulation point of $\Phi(A)$. If for every τ_0 -regular open set V and for every $x \in \Phi(V)$ there exists at x an interval closed set $F \subset V$ such that $x \in \Phi(\text{int}(F))$, then semiregularization $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}}$ is the coarsest topology such that

$$\mathcal{C}(\langle \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}} \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle).$$

Corollary 3.

Let $\Phi \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}, \tau_o)$ and for every set $A \in \tau_o$ every $x \in \Phi(A)$ be the both-side accumulation point of $\Phi(A)$. If for every τ_o -regular open set V and for every $x \in \Phi(V)$ there exists at x an interval closed set $F \subset V$ such that $x \in \Phi(\text{int}(F))$, then semiregularization $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}}$ is the coarsest topology such that

$$\mathcal{C}(\langle \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{ro}} \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle).$$

The above theorem has application for operators:

- $\Phi_d \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}, \tau_o)$ (W. Wojdowski);
- $\Phi_I \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}, \tau_o)$ (E. Łazarow, W. Poreda, E. Wagner-Bojakowska);
- $\Phi_\psi \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}, \tau_o)$ (W. Wilczyński, W. Wojdowski);
- $\Phi_{I(J)} \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}, \tau_o)$ (R. Wiertelak).

Let $A \in \mathcal{L}$ and $J = \{J_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of intervals tending to zero. It means that

$$|J_n| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \wedge c(J_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

where $c(J_n)$ is a center of interval J_n .

$$x_0 \in \Phi_J(A) \text{ iff } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda(A \cap (J_n + x_0))}{|J_n|} = 1.$$

Let $A \in \mathcal{L}$ and $J = \{J_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of intervals tending to zero. It means that

$$|J_n| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \wedge c(J_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

where $c(J_n)$ is a center of interval J_n .

$$x_0 \in \Phi_J(A) \text{ iff } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda(A \cap (J_n + x_0))}{|J_n|} = 1.$$

Theorem 9 (R. Wiertelak).

For every τ_0 -regular open set V and for every $x \in \Phi_J(V)$ there exists at x an interval closed set $F \subset V$ such that $x \in \Phi_J(\text{int}(F))$.

Let $A \in \mathcal{L}$ and $J = \{J_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of intervals tending to zero. It means that

$$|J_n| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \wedge c(J_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

where $c(J_n)$ is a center of interval J_n .

$$x_0 \in \Phi_J(A) \text{ iff } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda(A \cap (J_n + x_0))}{|J_n|} = 1.$$

Theorem 9 (R. Wiertelak).

For every τ_0 -regular open set V and for every $x \in \Phi_J(V)$ there exists at x an interval closed set $F \subset V$ such that $x \in \Phi_J(\text{int}(F))$.

Theorem 10.

If $\Phi = \Phi_J$, then $\Phi \in \Phi(\mathbb{R}, \tau_o), \tau_0 \subset \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{r_o}}$ and semiregularization $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{r_o}}$ is the coarsest topology such that

$$\mathcal{C}(\langle \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_{r_o}} \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\Phi_r} \rangle).$$

-  K. Ciesielski, L. Larson, K. Ostaszewski, *\mathcal{I} -Density Continuous Functions*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **107(515)**, 1994.
-  J. Hejduk, R. Wiertelak, *On the abstract density topologies generated by lower and almost lower density operators*, Traditional and present-day topics in real analysis, Łódź University Press, 2013.
-  R. J. O'Malley, *Approximately differentiable functions, the r topology*, Pacific. J. Math. **72(1)** (1977), 207-222.
-  W. Poreda, E. Wagner-Bojakowska, W. Wilczyński, *Remarks on \mathcal{I} -density and \mathcal{I} -approximately continuous functions*, Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae **26(3)** (1985), 553-563.
-  J. Hejduk, R. Wiertelak, *On the generalization of density topologies on the real line*, to appear in Math. Slovaca at 2014.
-  W. Wilczyński, W. Wojdowski, *A category ψ -density topology*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **9(5)** (2011), 1057-1066.
-  W. Wojdowski, *Density topologies involving measure and category*, Demonstratio Math. **22(3)** (1989), 797-812.