## $\mathcal F\text{-}\mathsf{additive}$ sets for some families

## of thin sets

Peter Eliaš

Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Košice, Slovakia

Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be the circle group, i.e. the set [0, 1) with operations carried out modulo integers.

For  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ , let ||x|| be the distance of x to 0, thus  $||x|| \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ .

 ${\mathbb T}$  is a compact Polish space.

## **Definition** A set $X \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ is:

A-set if there is an increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $||n_k x|| \to 0$  on X,

*D-set* if there is an increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $||n_k x|| \Rightarrow 0$  on X,

*N-set* if there is a sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  of non-negative reals such that  $\sum a_n = \infty$  and  $\sum a_n ||nx|| < \infty$  on X,

wD-set if there is a Borel set  $Y \supseteq X$  such that for any continuous Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  there is an increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_Y \|n_k x\| \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) = 0.$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$ ,  $w\mathcal{D}$  denote the families of all A-, D-, N-, and wD-sets, respectively.

## **Basic properties**

- 1. Families  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$ ,  $w\mathcal{D}$  are closed under translations and taking subsets, have Borel bases ( $\mathcal{D}$ - closed,  $\mathcal{N} - F_{\sigma}$ ,  $\mathcal{A} - G_{\delta\sigma}$ );
- 2.  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \subseteq w\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{N} \subseteq w\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathbb{T} \notin w\mathcal{D}$ ;
- 3. a subgroup of  $\mathbb{T}$  generated by an A-set (N-set, wD-set) is again an A-set (N-set, wD-set);
- 4. there exist D-sets X, Y such that  $X + Y = \mathbb{T}$ , thus  $X \cup Y \notin w\mathcal{D}$ .

**Definition** (Arbault 1952) A set X is  $\mathcal{N}$ -permitted if for any N-set Y,  $X \cup Y$  is an N-set.

**Theorem** (Arbault, Erdös) Any countable set is  $\mathcal{N}$ -permitted.

**Problem** (Bary 1961) Does there exist a perfect  $\mathcal{N}$ -permitted set?

**Theorem** (Lafontaine 1969) Any closed  $\mathcal{N}$ -permitted set is countable.

**Theorem** (P.E. 2004) Any  $\mathcal{A}$ -permitted set is perfectly meager, i.e. meager relatively to any perfect set.

**Definition** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of sets. A set X is called  $\mathcal{F}$ -additive if for any  $Y \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $X + Y \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Remark** A set is A-permitted ( $\mathcal{N}$ -,  $w\mathcal{D}$ -permitted) iff it is A-additive, ( $\mathcal{N}$ -,  $w\mathcal{D}$ -additive).

**Remark** Lafontaine actually showed that for any perfect set  $P \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  there exists D-set D such that P + D has positive Lebesgue measure.

**Theorem** (Erdös, Kunen, Mauldin 1981) For any perfect set  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  there exists a perfect set Q having Lebesgue measure zero such that  $P + Q = \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem** For any perfect set  $P \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  there exists D-set D such that  $P + D = \mathbb{T}$ .

Proof Let  $B_k = \{m2^{-k-1} : m \in 2^{k+1}\}$ . By induction for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  define  $n_k$ ,  $\varepsilon_k > 0$ , and  $A_k \in [P]^{<\omega}$  such that for every  $a \in A_k$  and  $b \in B_k$  there is  $a' \in A_{k+1}$  such that

1. 
$$||a'-a|| < \varepsilon_k/2$$
,

2. if  $||x - a'|| < \varepsilon_{k+1}$  then  $||n_{k+1}x - b|| < 2^{-k-2}$ . Put  $D = \{x : \forall k \ ||n_kx|| \le 2^{-k}\}$ . For a given  $y \in \mathbb{T}$ , let  $b_k \in B_k$  be such that  $||n_{k+1}y - b_k|| \le 2^{-k-2}$ . By induction choose  $p_k \in A_k$  such that  $p_k \to p$  and for all k,  $||n_{k+1}p - b_k|| \le 2^{-k-2}$ . Then  $p \in P$  and  $y - p \in D$ , hence  $y \in P + D$ .

**Corollary** If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of subsets of  $\mathbb{T}$  such that  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathbb{T} \notin \mathcal{F}$  then any  $\mathcal{F}$ -additive set is totally imperfect.

**Remark** For a given perfect set P, we have defined a set  $D = \{x : \forall k || n_k x || \le 2^{-k}\}$  so that for any  $y \in \mathbb{T}, P \cap (y - D) \neq \emptyset$ .

We can moreover arrange that for all  $y \in \mathbb{T}$ ,

- 1.  $P \cap (y D)$  contains a perfect subset,
- 2. if  $E = \{x : \forall^{\infty}k \ ||n_kx|| \le 2^{-k}\}$  then  $P \cap (y E)$  is dense in P.

**Definition** A set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  is  $s_0$ -set if every perfect set  $P \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  has a perfect subset Q disjoint with X.

**Theorem** Let  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subsetneq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ . Then any  $\mathcal{F}$ -additive set is  $s_0$ -set.

*Proof* Let *X* be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -additive, not  $s_0$ -set. There is a perfect set *P* such that for any perfect  $Q \subseteq P$ ,  $Q \cap X \neq \emptyset$ . Find a D-set *D* as above. Since *X* is  $\mathcal{F}$ -additive, there exists  $y \in \mathbb{T} \setminus (X + D)$ . We have the set *D* such that  $P \cap (y-D)$  has a perfect subset, but this set must intersect *X*. But if  $x \in X \cap (y-D)$ then  $y \in x + D$ , a contradiction.

**Definition** (Nowik, Scheepers, Weiss) A set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ is *perfectly meager in transitive sense* if for every perfect set  $P \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  there exists an  $F_{\sigma}$  set  $F \supseteq X$ such that for all  $y \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $P \cap (y + F)$  is meager in P.

**Notation** Let  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$  denote the family of all subsets of proper  $F_{\sigma}$  subgroups of  $\mathbb{T}$ .

**Theorem** Let  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$ . Then any  $\mathcal{F}$ -additive set is perfectly meager in transitive sense.

*Proof* Let  $X \neq \emptyset$  be  $\mathcal{F}$ -additive, P be perfect. Find the sequence  $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  as above, and put

$$D_m = \{x : \forall k \ge m \ \|n_k x\| \le 2^{-k}\}.$$

For any m, there is a proper  $F_{\sigma}$  group  $F_m$  containing the set  $X + D_m$ . Since  $\{D_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is increasing, we may assume that also  $\{F_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is inceasing, and thus  $F = \bigcup F_m$  is a proper  $F_{\sigma}$  subgroup of  $\mathbb{T}$ . Denote  $E = \{x : \forall^{\infty} ||n_k x|| \leq 2^{-k}\}$ . Then  $F \supseteq \bigcup (X + D_m) = X + E$ , and thus F contains X and a translation of E.

Let  $y \in \mathbb{T}$  be given. Since F is a proper subgroup, it can be shifted away from itself. Now, since Fcontains a translation of E, there is also a translation of E in the complement of F. Let x + E be a translation of E contained in  $G = \mathbb{T} \setminus (y + F)$ . By the construction of  $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $P \cap (x + E)$  is dense in P, and thus also  $P \cap G$  is dense in P. Since G is  $G_{\delta}$ ,  $P \cap (y + F)$  is meager in P.